Our Best Health Reporting Delivered Right To Your Inbox

Sign up for the CommonHealth newsletter to receive a weekly digest of WBUR's best health, medicine and science coverage.

wbur CommonHealth



Baby DNA: Boston Researchers Find Childhood Genetic Risks In 9 Percent Of Newborns 05:05 () </>



Sign up for the <u>CommonHealth newsletter</u> to receive a weekly digest of WBUR's best health, medicine and science coverage.

Just after Cora Stetson was born two years ago, she tested positive on a standard newborn screening test for a rare enzyme deficiency that, in the worst cases, can cause seizures, deafness and blindness and cognitive delays.

When she was retested, with the same biochemical blood test, her results came up negative. Normal.

Story continues below



Now Mandated To Offer Meds For Opioid Addiction In The ER, Mass. Hospitals Get 'How-To' Guidelines

New Hampshire To Host Forums On New Opioid Treatment System

CommonHealth Jan 6, 2019

But then came the call from <u>BabySeq</u>, a pioneering study that is exploring what happens when extensive DNA analysis searching for potential childhood disorders is performed on newborns.

"They said, 'Cora came back positive,' " says her mother, Lauren Stetson of Melrose. "'She has a genetic disorder that we can fix — but also that needs attention right now.' "

Cora, it turned out, had a partial version of the disorder — <u>biotinidase deficiency</u> — and the deep DNA testing her parents had signed her up for, known as wholeexome sequencing, had clinched the diagnosis.

The partial disorder could have left Cora struggling in school, needing glasses and having trouble hearing, Stetson says. While the family's pediatrician would have been vigilant for such symptoms, "we would have had to wait for those symptoms to arrive, and none of them are reversible," she says. "So if she had bad eyesight, you can't fix it."

Stetson compares her daughter's clear-cut case to her son's frustratingly mysterious eczema when he was an infant. It's a "freeing feeling," she says, to know what's happening with her child and what to do about it — just mix a cheap biotin supplement into her yogurt after dinner every day.

"It just seems crazy to me that in this world that we live in, that we're still on the defensive of our health care system," she says. "We should be on the offensive."

Getting out in front of disease is the ultimate goal of DNA screening like the

BabySeq project, says Dr. Robert Green, its joint director and a Harvard Medical School professor.

"We want to take a medical system that's mostly reactive and all about 'sick care,' and move it to be proactive and all about prevention," he says.

BabySeq, based mainly at Brigham and Women's Hospital and Boston Children's Hospital, is federally funded. It targets genes likely to lead to problems in childhood and that lend themselves to possible action.

In the <u>latest findings</u>, published Thursday in the American Journal of Human Genetics, those mutations turn out to be surprisingly common, turning up in more than 9 percent of babies tested.

That 9 percent of infants are at risk "for what we call monogenic diseases — or diseases that are caused by a change in a single gene — is really an unprecedented and pretty startling finding," Dr. Green says.

The study compares 159 babies who were randomly assigned to have their DNA sequenced, and 157 assigned only to standard newborn screening, a biochemical test performed on a drop of blood.

Among the 15 sequenced babies whose DNA raised a red flag, it was most often for a mutation linked to risky heart conditions or hearing loss. It is not clear whether the disorders linked to the genes will actually develop in each case.

About one in every 10 people has some sort of rare disease, says Dr. Alan Beggs, director of the Manton Center for Orphan Disease Research at Boston Children's Hospital and the paper's senior author.

"However, we don't expect one in 10 people to have a genetic disease with a mutation *that we can find*," he says. So one goal "is to try to understand which of these are actually going to potentially cause disease. And what we can do to help

mitigate the effects of that in the future."

As DNA sequencing becomes more common, BabySeq aims to answer an array of questions: How does it affect a child's care? How does it affect the family? How does it impact the costs of care? What do doctors do with the information?

Whether DNA screening should be done on healthy babies is a topic of lively debate, including concerns that genetic findings may cause anxiety and overtreatment.

The Hastings Center, a bio-ethics think tank, recently put out <u>a report</u> recommending against large-scale programs to test the DNA of healthy babies at this point, and advised doctors to discourage parents from using direct-toconsumer DNA tests on their children.

But the Hastings report <u>acknowledged disagreement</u> over its findings, and Dr. Green points out that the evidence from BabySeq and other studies is only beginning to roll in. He also notes that "early adopters" who volunteer for sequencing tend to be those who want information and can handle the uncertainty of genetic risk findings.

Dr. Beggs sees no need to sequence every healthy baby promptly at birth, he says, but he can imagine it as an early childhood routine like vaccination.

"I do think that sequencing early in life is very useful," he says, "simply because it can help inform on risks that might occur over the first few years of life," such as the development of muscular dystrophy.

In the future, he expects that most children will be sequenced. Dr. Green, too, expects widespread childhood sequencing, though he emphasizes that he does not expect it to be mandatory like the current newborn screening program.

One interesting twist in this latest study: Babies' DNA may help their parents,

too. In three cases, the study reports, infants were found to carry genes linked to breast or other cancer in adulthood. The findings alerted the parents who had passed on the genes.

As for 2-year-old Cora Stetson, when her parents signed up for the BabySeq study, they did discuss the complexities that could arise if the testing turned up a high-risk mutation with potentially serious consequences. They opted for maximal knowledge.

"Again, it goes back to offensive and defensive," Lauren Stetson says. "If I was a parent who knew that my kid was going to be sick, I would want to be on the offensive. I would want to be able to research and know what was coming for us, to be able to create the best life for our kid, which is what all parents want to do."

This segment aired on January 3, 2019.

Related:

- Would You Check Your Baby's DNA For Free? Most Parents In Boston Study Say 'No, Thanks'
- Would You Want To Know The Secrets Hidden In Your Baby's Genes?
- Growing Up Genomic: What Happens When You Know All A Baby's Genes?



Carey Goldberg Seditor, CommonHealth Carey Goldberg is the editor of WBUR's CommonHealth blog. More...





View comment(s)

More from WBUR



Kevin Spacey Must Stay Away From Accuser, Nantucket Judge Rules The actor faces accusations that he groped a young man in a bar on Nantucket in 2016.

WBUR News 1 hour ago



Boston Marathon Amputee Struck By Car, Sent To Hospital A woman who lost a leg in the Boston Marathon bombing in 2013 is in the hospital after being struck by a car near the bombing's location.

WBUR News 2 hours ago



Now Mandated To Offer Meds For Opioid Addiction In The ER, Mass. Hospitals Get 'How-To' Guidelines

A 2018 state law requires roughly 80 hospitals and satellite emergency rooms to offer patients addicted to opioids a medication to help treat their disease. A handful do. For most,...



Warren Kicks Off Expected Run For President In Iowa

The Massachusetts senator made her way across four lowa cities a couple weeks after she said she's exploring a run for president.

WBUR News 05:07 5 hours ago



Today's Weather: A Mix Of Rain And Snow For Tuesday

Monday will be sunny but chilly. A mix of snow is headed our way for Tuesday and Wednesday.

WBUR News 5 hours ago



'I Just Want To Be Prepared': Group Teaches LGBT People Gun Self-Defense Originally formed in 2000, the Boston Pink Pistols group had disbanded but was revived after the 2016 Pulse gay nightclub massacre in Orlando.

WBUR News 04:58 6 hours ago



Listen To 'Sonja Smokes Me Out,' Anjimile's Upbeat Salve To A Troubled World "Sonja Smokes Me Out" is a jangly DIY invention with a playful melody and a memorable refrain.

The ARTery 7 hours ago



The Essential Risk Of Good Literature

Literature offers an antidote to the excesses of collective myths and the deadness of demanded compliance, writes Janna Malamud Smith.

Cognoscenti 7 hours ago



The Green New Deal Is Radical — And Necessary

The Green New Deal will be important because it sets the baseline against which other climate measures will be judged, writes Fred Hewett.



Low Pay, Strong Local Economy Causing Substitute Teacher Shortage In Mass. School Districts

The Worcester Telegram reports that substitutes receive less than \$100 per day to fill in for a full day in most Worcester-area communities.

Edify Jan 6, 2019



New Hampshire To Host Forums On New Opioid Treatment System

Under "The Doorway-NH" system, hospitals and others work with local providers to ensure that help is less than an hour away anywhere in the state.

CommonHealth Jan 6, 2019

© Copyright WBUR 2019